STATEMENT ON PRINCIPAL ADVERSE IMPACTS OF INVESTMENT DECISIONS ON SUSTAINABILITY FACTORS

Product name: Nippon Accommodations Fund Inc.

The following is the adverse sustainability impact statement of Nippon Accommodations Fund ("NAF") pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 ("SFDR"). NAF has no employees in accordance with the prohibition on having employees under the Act on Investment Trusts and Investment Corporations of Japan and relies on Mitsui Fudosan Accommodations Fund Management Co., Ltd. (the "Asset Manager"), to manage and operate the properties in NAF's portfolio. NAF and the Asset Manager are hereinafter referred to collectively as "we", "us" or "our".

Please note that SFDR requirements, including the scope of their application to issuers outside the European Economic Area, continue to evolve. We are therefore taking a principles-based approach to compliance with the SFDR disclosure standards, which are subject to change.

1. Summary

We consider principal adverse impacts of our investment decisions on sustainability factors. The present statement is our consolidated statement on the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors ("PAI"). This statement on PAI covers the reference period from January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022. The statement will be reviewed at least once during every twelvementh period ending on December 31 of each year.

We believe that our sustainability initiatives are essential for our sustainable growth. We improve mid and long-term returns of investors and contribute to the realization of sustainable society and urban development by implementing initiatives that address ongoing social and lifestyle changes. Under our sustainability policies, we, in collaboration with the Asset Manager, take actions on climate change, including energy saving and reduction of CO₂ emissions, introduction of renewable energy, and preserving water environment and promoting resource saving and waste reduction.

We use the definition of PAI as described in Recital 20 of SFDR being "those impacts of investment decisions and advice that result in negative effects on sustainability factors", with sustainability factors referring to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters as defined in Article 2 (24) of SFDR.

We believe that investment decisions that negatively affect climate or other environment-related resources, or have negative implications for society, can have a significant impact to risk and value creation for NAF's unitholders. To this end, we consider PAI of our investment decisions throughout all major steps of the investment decision and property management process throughout the lifecycle of the properties in our portfolio.

2. Description of principal adverse sustainability impacts

Nearly all types of economic activity have the potential to impact various PAI indicators, both positively and adversely. We aim to manage the risk connected to PAI from our investment decisions in several ways, including general screening criteria, due diligence and our ESG initiatives. Some of the PAI indicators listed below are currently already being monitored and

reported. As the availability of data improves, it is our intention that more indicators will be added.

Table 1

Description of the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

NAF does not invest in investee companies, but invests in real estate. As adverse sustainability indicators 1-16 as contained in Table 1 of Annex 1 of the SFDR Delegated Regulation pertain to investee companies, these are not included in this PAI statement, which pertains to real estate investments.

Indicators applicable to investments in real estate assets						
Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact in 2022	Impact in 2021	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Fossil fuels	Exposure to fossil fuels through real estate assets	Share of investme nts in real estate assets involved in the extraction , storage, transport or manufact ure of fossil fuels	N/A	N/A	NAF does not invest in real estate assets involved in the extraction, storage, transport or manufacture of fossil fuels.	N/A
Energy efficienc y	18. Exposure to energy- inefficien t real estate assets	Share of investme nts in energy-inefficien t real estate assets	As of February 28, 2023, 67.4% of NAF's properties were not "eligible green assets" (as defined to the right) based on gross floor area. NAF operate based on six-month fiscal periods ending in February and August of each	As of February 28, 2022, 69.4% of NAF's properties were not "eligible green assets" (as defined to the right) based on gross floor area. NAF operate based on six-month fiscal periods ending in February and August of each	To track the environmental performance of NAF's properties, we rely on certifications issued by third-party organizations, such as the Development Bank of Japan's ("DBJ") Green Building Certification, Comprehensive Assessment System for Built Environment Efficiency ("CASBEE") certification, and other equivalent	We implement as appropriate measures to reduce their environmental impact following acquisition, including by obtaining environmental certifications such as DBJ or CASBEE certifications. We have set a goal of

Т	1	l		
	year.	year.	certifications. With	increasing the
	Accordingly,	Accordingly,	respect to DBJ	percentage of
	we provide the	we provide the	certifications, we	eligible green
	share of	share of	consider a property to	assets in
	investments in	investments in	have sufficient	NAF's
	energy-	energy-	environmental	portfolio to
	inefficient real	inefficient real	certification if it	40% based on
	estate assets as	estate assets as	received 3 stars or	gross floor
	of the end of	of the end of	higher out of DBJ's	area by 2030.
	the latest fiscal	the latest fiscal	five-star ranking	
	period	period	system. With respect	
	covering the	covering the	to CASBEE, we	
	reference	reference	consider a property to	
	period under	period under	have sufficient	
	this PAI	this PAI	environmental	
	statement.	statement.	certification if it	
			received B+ Rank or	
			higher out of the	
			CASBEE ranking	
			system featuring Rank	
			S (excellent), Rank A	
			(very good), Rank B+	
			(good), Rank B-	
			(slightly inferior) and	
			Rank C (inferior). We	
			refer to NAF's	
			properties that receive	
			the sufficient level of	
			any such certifications	
			as "eligible green	
			assets", and consider	
			NAF's properties that	
			have received no	
			environmental	
			certification from	
			DBJ, CASBEE, BELS	
			or other equivalent	
			green building	
			certifications as	
			"energy-inefficient	
			real estate assets".	

Table 2

Additional climate and other environment-related indicators

NAF does not invest in investee companies, but invests in real estate. As additional climate and other environment-related indicators 1-17 as contained in Table 2 of Annex 1 of the SFDR Delegated Regulation pertain to investee companies, these are not included in this PAI statement, which pertains to real estate investments.

CLIMATE AND OTHER ENVIRONMENT-RELATED INDICATORS

Adverse sustainability impact	Adverse impact on sustainability factors (qualitative or quantitative)	Metric					
	Indicators applicable to investments in real estate assets						
Greenhouse gas emissions	Scope 1 and Scope 3 GHG emissions generated by real estate assets are not applicable to NAF's properties. Scope 2 GHG emissions (and total GHG emissions) generated by the residential properties in NAF's portfolio were: 4,183 t-CO ₂ (in 2018), 3,297 t-CO ₂ (in 2019), 2,640 t-CO ₂ (in 2020), 3,356 t-CO ₂ (in 2021) and 3.542 t-CO ₂ (in 2022). Based on such Scope 2 GHG emissions, the GHG emissions intensity based on gross floor area of the common areas of NAF's residential properties was: 0.0203 t-CO ₂ /m ² (in 2018), 0.0159 t-CO ₂ /m ² (in 2019), 0.0127 t-CO ₂ /m ² (in 2020), 0.0157 t-CO ₂ /m ² (in 2021) and 0.0163 t-CO ₂ /m ² (in 2022) We estimate Scope 2 GHG emissions based on	Scope 1 GHG emissions generated by real estate assets Scope 2 GHG emissions generated by real estate assets Scope 3 GHG emissions generated by real estate assets Total GHG emissions generated by real estate assets					
	energy consumption (including electricity consumption) of the common areas of NAF's residential properties by using adjusted emissions coefficient published by the Ministry of the Environment of Japan. In addition, we monitor and track We aim to reduce CO ₂ emissions intensity of the common areas of NAF's residential properties by 50% by 2030 from the level in 2015 (0.0249 t-CO ₂ /m ²).						
Energy consumption	19, Energy consumption intensity. We track and monitor energy consumption (including electricity consumption) and its intensity of the common areas of NAF's residential properties. The energy consumption intensity based on gross floor area of the common areas of NAF's residential properties was: 46.55 MWh/m² (in 2018), 45.38 MWh/m² (in 2019), 43.23 MWh/m² (in 2020), 42.44 MWh/m² (in 2021) and 42.13 MWh/m² (in 2022). We aim to reduce energy consumption intensity of common areas of NAF's residential properties by	Energy consumption in MWh of owned real estate assets per square meter					

50% by 2030 from the level in 2015 (52.05 MWh/m ²).	

Table 3

Additional indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters

NAF has no employees in accordance with the prohibition on having employees under the Act on Investment Trusts and Investment Corporations of Japan, and relies on the Asset Manager to manage and operate the properties in NAF's portfolio. Accordingly, additional indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters in this PAI statement pertain to the Asset Manager and the tenants of the properties in NAF's portfolio, to the extent available.

SOCIAL AND EMPLOYEE, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, ANTI-CORRUPTION AND ANTI-BRIBERY MATTERS					
Adverse sustainability impact	Adverse impact on sustainability factors (qualitative or quantitative)	Metric			
	Indicators applicable to investments to the Asset Manager or tenants				
Social and employee matters	4. Lack of a supplier code of conduct We require our tenants and our property manager to implement social and environmental policies and measures. We have raised tenants' awareness of environmental issues by providing tenants with energy saving techniques with guidebooks and other information available on our website. We conduct periodic review of our suppliers' social and environmental initiatives. We evaluate our property manager once a year to confirm if it has an organizational structure to carry out its property management business appropriately. Because all of our tenants are individuals, they do not have any "supplier code of conduct" per se. However, as of February 28, 2023, all of the tenants in NAF's residential properties (94.9% of the properties in NAF's portfolio based on the number of properties) were required to save energy and water to mitigate the burden on the environment under their lease agreements with us.	Share of investments in investee companies without any supplier code of conduct (against unsafe working conditions, precarious work, child labor and forced labor)			
	6. Insufficient whistleblower protection We allow employees to consult directly with the Chief Compliance Officer or outside consultants when they become aware of any compliance problem. The Chief	Share of investments in entities without policies on the protection of whistleblowers			

	Compliance Officer or the outside consultants, as the case may be, are required to keep such communication confidential, except that they are allowed to consult each other. They investigate the facts of each case, report to the President, responsible officers and/or report to the Compliance Committee as needed, and give feedback to the employee. Employees are protected under the Whistleblower Protection Act of Japan, and shall not be treated unfavorably by the Company for the fact of making such consultations. All of the Asset Manager's officers and employees are provided whistleblower protection as a matter of policy.	
Human Rights	12. Operations and suppliers at significant risk of incidents of child labor We evaluate our property manager once a year to confirm if it has an organizational structure to carry out its property management business appropriately, and we review whether any child labor is used in its business. Property Manager: None, to the best of our knowledge, during 2022 and 2021.	Share of investments in investee companies exposed to operations and suppliers at significant risk of incidents of child labor exposed to hazardous work in terms of geographic areas or type of operation
	13. Operations and suppliers at significant risk of incidents of forced or compulsory labor We evaluate our property manager once a year to confirm if it has an organizational structure to carry out its property management business appropriately, and we review whether any forced or compulsory labor is used for its business. Property Manager: None, to the best of our knowledge, during 2022 and 2021	Share of the investments in investee companies exposed to operations and suppliers at significant risk of incidents of forced or compulsory labor in terms in terms of geographic areas and/or the type of operation

For descriptions of actions and initiatives which NAF takes and will take with respect to the PAI indicators, please refer to our ESG website with respect to NAF: https://www.naf-r.jp/english/esg/index.html.

3. Description of policies to identify and prioritize principal adverse sustainability impacts

Subject to data availability, we monitor the selected PAI indicators for the existing properties in our portfolio.

Prior to our investment in a property, we conduct due diligence review of the property, including not only profitability, but also environmental features, which includes selected PAI indicators. The due diligence findings related to selected PAI indicators are reported and reviewed prior to the investment decision.

We have a Green Finance Framework, which received the highest rank "Green 1(F)" by Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. ("JCR") on June 18, 2020. JCR evaluates green bond issuance or green loan borrowing policies for the purpose of ensuring compliance with the International Capital Markets Association's (ICMA) International Green Bond Principles, the Loan Market Association's Green Loan Principles or the Guidelines for Green Bonds established by the Ministry of the Environment of Japan. Our Green Finance Framework consists of (1) use of proceeds, (2) eligibility criteria, (3) process for project evaluation and selection, (4) management of proceeds, (5) reporting. When we select properties for investment in connection with our green bonds or green loans, the properties need to meet the eligibility criteria. We consider a property to be an "eligible green asset" if it obtained or will obtain either of the following certifications: 3, 4, or 5 Stars under the DBJ Green Building Certification; 3 Stars, 4 Stars, or 5 Stars under the BELS Certification; B+, A, or S rank under the CASBEE certification for Real Estate; or rating of an equivalent level to the above under another green building certification.

For further information, please refer to our ESG website: https://www.naf-r.jp/english/esg/finance/sustainability-finance.html.

4. Engagement policies

Due diligence and screening

Prior to our investment in a property, the Asset Manager conducts due diligence review of the property, including its environment and soil. Specifically, we investigate whether there are any harmful substances such as asbestos in buildings, and we review the history of its land use and as appropriate retain experts to investigate soil contamination.

At the properties we operate, we set numerical targets for our entire facilities and monitor the amount of energy consumption, electricity consumption as well as the amount of CO2 emissions of common areas of our properties in order to reduce our environmental burden.

Engagement

We require our property manager and other business partners including our tenants to understand and collaborate on our initiatives concerning sustainability and establish environmental and social measures and policies.

We conduct periodic review of our suppliers' environmental and social initiatives. We evaluate our property manager once a year to confirm if it has an organizational structure to carry out its property management business appropriately. In particular, we monitor the following aspects of our property manager: (1) operational specifications, reported data and types of meetings held, (2) the personnel system, appropriateness of the number of business units each person is in charge and any changes in the organizational system, and (3) compliance status.

We outsource property management of our properties to Mitsui Fudosan Residential Lease Co., Ltd., which has proactively worked on environmental issues, and observes the Mitsui Fudosan Group's environmental policy and collaborates with us in implementing environmental initiatives.

Through the above collaboration, we have raised tenants' awareness of environmental issues by providing tenants with energy saving techniques with guidebooks and other information available on our website, and have implemented other measures to reduce the environmental load of our properties.

Also under lease agreements with our tenants of rental apartments, which as of December 31, 2022 accounted for over 90% of our entire portfolio (based on the number of properties), we require the tenants to save energy and water to mitigate the burden on the environment.

5. References to international standards

We support for the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals ("SDGs") and in February 2020, we identified for ourselves a set of material ESG issues that we believe must be addressed to meet global standards to contribute to SDGs. The Asset Manager also expressed its support for the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures ("TCFD") in September 2021, and also joined the TCFD Consortium, an organization made up of Japanese companies that support the recommendations.

6. Historical comparison

See Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3 above.